# WONG SHIU CHI SECONDARY SCHOOL ANNUAL PLAN 2014/2015

## CONTENT

Annual Plan 2014/2015

Appendix I: Plan on use of Capacity Enhancement Grant/ Senior Secondary Curriculum Support Grant 2014/2015

Appendix II: School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2014/15 - Programme Plan

Appendix III: h ' ' # ' O h ' #Oh '8

° (@ ''' O

### Annual Plan 2014/2015

Major concern I: To enhance students' learning outcome through improving language proficiency, developing self-regulatory learning habits and addressing diverse learning needs

Intended Outcomes	Strategies	Time scale	Success Criteria	Method of evaluation	Department(s)/ Committee(s)/ Teacher(s) Responsible	Resources Required
Enhancement of students' English proficiency	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To consolidate and improve the Language-across-the-Curriculum Project by (a) refining and developing related teaching and learning materials, and (b) strengthening the coordination</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment</li> <li>Higher score (raw score) in S3 students' English in TSA than 2013</li> <li>Higher average score in the post-test of English Proficiency Assessment (EPA) than the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>S3 TSA</li> <li>Internal assessment results</li> <li>Teaching Performance Survey</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Panel Chairs of relevant subjects</li> <li>EMI Committee Chair</li> </ul>	
	among participating subjects <ul> <li><u>To expand the</u></li> <li><u>Reading-across-the-Curriculum</u></li> <li><u>Project (RAC) in junior forms</u></li> </ul>		<ul> <li>pre-test</li> <li>Higher score in Teaching Performance Survey than last year (TPS Second Survey) (Q10 &gt;3.25, Q35&gt;2.63)</li> <li>Higher suggest sting of Eaclish</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Panel Chairs of relevant subjects</li> <li>EMI Committee</li> </ul>	QEF
	<ul> <li>To build an English-rich campus <u>by</u> <u>strengthening</u> the use of English by students in all aspects of school life</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Higher average rating of English teachers in TPS Second Survey than last year (&gt;3.25)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Etvir Committee Chair</li> <li>AP</li> <li>English Panel Chair</li> </ul>	EUEBG
	<ul> <li>SUBJECT LEVEL:</li> <li>To enhance the effectiveness of English lessons by graded exercises in junior forms, enrichment exercises for high-fliers in senior forms, and more systematic grammatical training &amp; vocabulary building</li> <li>To review the curriculum of afterschool remedial classes to cater to students' needs better</li> </ul>	Whole year			• English Panel Chair	

Intended Outcomes	Strategies Time scale Success Criteria		Success Criteria	Method of evaluation	Department(s)/ Committee(s)/ Teacher(s) Responsible	Resources Required
Nurturing students as lifelong learners	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To strengthen students' metacognitive skills through the concerted application of selected metacognitive tools and self-regulated learning practices in daily teaching</li> <li>To help cultivate the habit of self-regulated learning with a web-based platform</li> <li>To promote collaborative learning through (i) staff development in related skills and <u>(ii) piloting</u> projects in some subjects</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment         <ul> <li>Higher score in Stakeholder Survey than last year (Student, Q2&gt;3.9, Q8&gt;3.7, Q9&gt;3.7,Q10&gt;3.7, Q12&gt;3.8, Q13&gt;3.7 and Q14&gt;3.8)</li> <li>Higher rating of reading strategy in APASO than last year (senior &gt;2.86, junior&gt;2.99)</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Qualitative assessment</u> <ul> <li><u>More students demonstrate</u> metacognitive skills and habits in learning</li> <li><u>Students demonstrate higher</u> interest and better skill of reading</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stakeholder survey</li> <li>Teaching Performance Survey</li> <li>APASO</li> <li><u>Teachers'</u> observation</li> <li><u>Questionnaire</u> assessing metacognitive learning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Panel Chairs of relevant subjects</li> <li>AP(Academic)</li> <li>Chair of IT in education committee</li> <li>Panel Chairs of relevant subjects</li> <li>Staff Development Committee Chair</li> <li>AP(Academic)</li> </ul>	EOEBG
	<ul> <li>Subject LEVEL:</li> <li>To enhance the habits and skills of reading by (i) promoting extensive reading, and (ii) nurturing students' reading skills</li> <li>To keep diversifying the book list for extensive reading through including more subject-related readers</li> </ul>	Whole year			<ul> <li>English and Chinese Panel Chairs</li> <li>Library Committee Chair</li> <li>EMI Support Committee Chair</li> </ul>	
Better attendance of learners' diversity	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To coordinate the nomination of students to competitions, activities and awards through a centralized system such that students of different abilities can have the chances for further development</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment</li> <li>Higher S3 TSA passing rates than last year (Chi&gt;97%, Eng&gt;98%, Maths&gt;97%)</li> <li>More students gaining passing average mark in HYE than last year &gt; 66%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>TSA</li> <li>HYE</li> <li>Teaching performance survey</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>AP (Student Affairs)</li> <li>KLA Coordinators</li> </ul>	

Intended Outcomes	Strategies	Time scale	Success Criteria	Method of evaluation	Department(s)/ Committee(s)/ Teacher(s) Responsible	Resources Required
	<ul> <li>SUBJECT LEVEL:</li> <li>To review the curriculum and administration of after-school Chinese, English and Mathematics remedial classes for junior-form low-achievers in these three subjects</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li>Higher score in Teaching Performance Survey (Q.1 – 6) than last year(Second Survey: Q1&gt;3.26, Q2&gt;3.26, Q3&gt;3.22, Q4&gt;3.2, Q5&gt;3.19, Q6&gt;3.22)</li> <li>Higher score in Stakeholder Survey than last year (Parent:Q1&gt;3.4, Q3&gt;3.4, Q10&gt;3.7 and (Student:Q3≥4.0, Q21&gt;3.9 and Q26&gt;3.9)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Panel Chairs of relevant subjects</li> </ul>	

Intended Outcomes	Strategies	Time Scale	Success Criteria	Method of Evaluation	Department(s)/ Committee(s)/ Teacher(s) Responsible	Resources Required
Enhancement of students' self-discipline	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To draw consensus on the school's expectations on students' behaviours</li> <li>To facilitate the synergy of the efforts of all teachers to nurture students' behaviours</li> <li>To continue encouraging students' self-disciplined behaviour through positive reinforcements</li> <li>To organize special counseling groups and experiential training programmes for students with learning difficulties and behavioural problems</li> </ul>	Sept 2014 Whole year Whole year	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment         <ul> <li>Overall improvements in the Discipline record</li> <li>Stakeholder Survey (Student) scores of Q12&gt;3.7, Q15&gt;3.6, Q16&gt;3.6, Q19&gt;3.9, Q23&gt;3.8, Q25&gt;3.8, Q25&gt;3.8, Q26&gt;3.8</li> <li>Stakeholder Survey (Parent) scores of Q6&gt;3.4, Q7&gt;3.7, Q8&gt;3.7, Q9&gt;3.8,</li> <li>APASO in the area of <i>"Emotional stability"</i> (scores of junior forms &gt;2.8; score of senior forms&gt;2.7)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Qualitative assessment         <ul> <li>Positive feedback staff, students and parents</li> <li>Positive feedback from the participants of different counseling groups</li> <li>Improvement in attitude of the participants in different groups</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students' discipline records</li> <li>Students' overall behavioural performances</li> <li>Stakeholder Survey (Teacher, Parent &amp; Student)</li> <li>APASO</li> <li>Feedback from staff, students and parents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student Affairs Committee</li> <li>Discipline Committee</li> <li>Guidance Committee</li> <li>Life Education Committee</li> </ul>	EOEBG, DLG, HKJC(LLF), ASLSPG
Strengthening students' self-confidence	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>School level</li> <li>To <i>closely</i> monitor the students' participation in various internal and external extra-curricular activities and awards schemes</li> <li>To organize training programmes for student leaders</li> <li>To develop students' careers and</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li><u>Quantitative assessment</u></li> <li>Improvement in students' self-confidence scores after the training programmes</li> <li>Stakeholder Survey (student) scores of Q9&gt;3.7, Q17&gt;3.8, Q19&gt;3.9, Q21&gt;3.9</li> <li>APASO in the area of <i>"Perseverance"</i> (score of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stakeholder Survey (Student)</li> <li>APASO</li> <li>Pre- &amp; post-tests after different programmes</li> <li><u>Feedback from</u> related staff and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student Affairs Committee</li> <li>Discipline Committee</li> <li>Guidance Committee</li> <li>Life Education Committee</li> </ul>	EOEBG, DLG, HKJC(LLF), ASLSPG

# Major Concern II: To enable students to fully realize their potentials through character-building

	<ul> <li>further studies' aspiration through counseling, personality tests and careers mapping programmes</li> <li>To recognize students' outstanding achievements through different means such as assemblies, school webpage and exhibitions on the school campus</li> <li>To enhance the collaboration of the Student Council and the Student Association by providing more training and guidance for the executive committees and to build up their routines in self-evaluation</li> <li>FORM LEVEL:</li> <li>To guide students to set, implement and reflect their goals through the Life Building Scheme</li> <li>CLASS LEVEL:</li> <li>To develop a class culture of appreciation on students' achievements</li> </ul>		junior forms>2.96, score of senior forms>2.92) "Situation Control" (score of junior forms>2.97, senior forms>2.92) "Social Integration" (score of junior forms≥3, senior forms≥3) "Interpersonal Competence" (score of junior forms>2.92, score of senior forms>2.92) "Commitment" (score of junior forms≥3, senior forms≥3.09) "Goal Setting" (score of junior forms>2.94, senior forms>2.94) <u>Qualitative assessment</u> <u>Positive feedback from teachers, students and parents</u> <u>Positive feedback from programmes participants and prizes awardees</u>	<u>students and</u> <u>parents</u>	<ul> <li>OLE Committee</li> <li>Careers &amp; Further Studies Committee</li> </ul>	
Widening students' exposure	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To nominate students to various programmes (exchange, experiential and training) and awards schemes outside school</li> <li>To broaden students' exposure on different careers and further studies through various programmes of the Careers and Further Studies Committee and the OLE committee</li> </ul>	Whole year	Quantitative assessment         • High scores in post tests         Qualitative assessment         • Positive feedback from the teacher-in-charge and participants of the respective programmes	<ul> <li>Pre- &amp; post-tests after different programmes</li> <li><u>Feedback from</u> <u>related staff and</u> <u>participants</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student Affairs Committee</li> <li>Careers &amp; Further Studies Committee</li> <li>OLE Committee</li> <li>Department chairs</li> </ul>	EOEBG, DLG, HKJC(LLF), ASLSPG,

	<ul> <li>To broaden students' horizons</li> </ul>	March to	Quantitative assessment			
	<ul> <li>To broaden students' horizons and exposures by</li> <li>organizing "Cross Boundary Exchange Tours" to Mainland China for 40 S5 and 40 S3 students.</li> <li>organizing "Outward Bound Training Programme" for 12 S4 student leaders.</li> <li>organizing different field studies programmes by various departments.</li> <li>organizing different visits for junior forms by various departments.</li> <li>organizing career mapping and exploration programmes on further studies for junior forms</li> </ul>	March to Early April 201	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment         <ul> <li>Improvement in students' attitudes and knowledge on the visited places in post-tests and</li> <li>APASO in the area of "Emotional Attachment to the Nation" (score of junior forms&gt;2.6, senior forms&gt;2.4)</li> <li>Stakeholder Survey (students) (score of Q1&gt;3.7)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Qualitative assessment         <ul> <li>Positive attitude of participants after the training / activities</li> <li>Positive feedback of participants and the teachers-in-charge</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stakeholder Survey (Student)</li> <li>APASO</li> <li>Pre- &amp; post-tests after different programmes</li> <li><u>Feedback from</u> <u>Assemblies abd</u> <u>the booth display</u> <u>sessions</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student Affairs Committee</li> <li>Career &amp; Further Studies Committee</li> <li>Department chairs</li> </ul>	EOEBG, DLG, HKJC(LLF), ASLSPG, QEF
Early intervention to meet students' identified needs	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To intervene students with SENs promptly among form coordinators, guidance committees and the School Social Workers</li> <li>To <u>enhance</u> the information system so as to facilitate the identification and handling of students with SENs</li> <li>FORM LEVEL:</li> <li>To conduct students' forums addressing their achievements and difficulties in studies for students at each level</li> </ul>	Whole year 1 <sup>st</sup> Term	<ul> <li>Qualitative assessment</li> <li>Adequate and prompt intervention procedures, carefully monitored by the Guidance Committee according to the S1 student information record form and teachers' referral</li> <li>Close collaboration of the form coordinators with the teachers and the guidance committee in the referral and handling of students with SENs in different forms</li> <li><u>Positive feedback from</u> <u>teachers, students and</u> <u>parents.</u></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reports from the Guidance Committee</li> <li>Feedback from the respective teachers, parents and students</li> <li>Questionnaire after the forums</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student Affairs Committee</li> <li>Guidance Committee</li> <li>Life Education Committee</li> <li>School Social Workers</li> </ul>	EOEBG, SSB, Beat Drugs Fund, Special grant from the EDB

Intended Outcomes	Strategies	Time scale	Success Criteria	Method of evaluation	Department(s)/ Committee(s)/ Teacher(s) responsible	Resources Required
Development of an environment that encourages professional dialogue for pursuing improvement	CHOOL LEVEL:Whole yearQuantitative assessmentTo develop Collaborative Lesson Planning into Action Research system of teaching and learning To support the development of Action Research with (i) staff development activities, (ii) relevant administrative arrangements, and (iii) sharing among staffWhole year• Higher score in Stakeholder Survey than last year (Teacher, Q11>3.6, Q14>3.5, Q15>3.5, Q18≥4.0, Q19≥4.3 Q21>3.2, Q22>3.5, Q23>3.3 Q25>3.0)Oualitative assessment • Teachers' agreement on the fact that they have more professional dialogues about teaching and school improvement		<ul> <li>Stakeholder Survey</li> <li>Comment from teachers in evaluation meeting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>AP (Academic)</li> <li>Staff Development Committee Chair</li> </ul>	EOEBG	
Improvement of the school planning-imple mentation-eval uation (PIE) cycle	<ul> <li>SCHOOL LEVEL:</li> <li>To offer related staff development activities, with focus on data interpretation and evaluation models</li> </ul>	Whole year	<ul> <li>Quantitative assessment</li> <li>Higher score in Stakeholder Survey than last year (Teacher, Q3&gt;3.1, Q4&gt;3.4, Q5&gt;3.7, Q6&gt;3.8, Q7&gt;3.3, Q10&gt;3.2, Q12&gt;3.6, Q13&gt;3.6, Q16≥4.2, Q17≥4.1, Q30&gt;3.5, Q31&gt;3.8, Q32&gt;3.8)</li> <li>Qualitative assessment inderstanding of PIE cycle</li> <li>Higher rating of subjects' and committees' Annual Plans (setting, implementation and evaluation) by AP</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stakeholder Survey</li> <li>Comment from AP in evaluation meeting</li> </ul>	• Staff Development Committee Chair	EOEBG

Building shared	SCHOOL LEVEL:	Whole year	Quantitative assessment	•	Stakeholder			
vision among	<ul> <li>To align teachers' views upon</li> </ul>		Higher score in Stakeholder		Survey	•	Principal & AP	
staff of	school policies and their		Survey than last year	•	<b>Discussion</b>			
different	implementation through		(Teacher, Q1>3.5, Q3>3.1,		among			
aptitudes and	revisiting our school mission		Q7>3.3, Q14>3.5, Q52 <u>&gt;</u> 4.0,		<u>teachers</u>			
points of view	<ul> <li>To enhance internal</li> </ul>		Q53 <u>&gt;</u> 4.0, Q55>3.1 and			•	Chairs of relevant	
	communication through		Q56>3.5)				committees	
	releasing executive summaries of							
	committees (School		Qualitative assessment					
	Development Committee,		<u>More teachers agree that</u>					
	Academic Committee and		they have shared vision					
	Student Affairs Committee)		<u>upon school development</u>					
	regularly to all staff							

### Plan on use of Capacity Enhancement Grant / Senior Secondary Curriculum Support Grant in 2014/2015 School Year

Name of School: Wong Shiu Chi Secondary School

Means by which teachers have been consulted: Opinion survey followed by staff meeting

No. of operating classes: 26

Task area	Major area(s)	Strategies/Tasks	Benefits	Time	Resources required	Success	Methods of	People
			anticipated	scale		criteria	evaluation	responsible
Enhancement of	Small class	To employ the	The teaching	2014/2015	GM Salary of	Chinese	Questionnaire	Chinese
Chinese	teaching and	qualified contract	load will be	School	contract teacher for	teachers show		Panel
Language	the	teachers	reduced on	Year	12 months,	improvement		
Teaching	implementation	(1 GM, Point 19)	average by 2		including MPF	in their		
	of Putonghua	AND who will	periods per		[(31,120 x 7) +	teaching		
	without additional staff	take up 3 classes	6-day cycle		$(32,365 \times 5) +$	effectiveness		
	resources	of Chinese and	across the		$(1,500 \ge 12) =$			
	resources	perform the same	Chinese Panel.		397,665]			
		duties as required	Hence Chinese					
		of a regular	subject teachers					
		teacher	will have their					
			heavy					
			workload					
			practically					
			released.					
Enhancement of	English	To employ one		2014/2015	Salary of contract	English		English
English	teacher can	teaching assistant		School	teaching assistant	teachers show		Panel
Language	spare more	who will provide		Year	for 12 months,	improvement		
Teaching	time on	general supports			including MPF	in their		
C	teaching by	to the English			[(14,000 + 700)x12]	teaching		
	releasing their	Panel by taking			= 176,400]	effectiveness		
	administrative	up routine						
	duties	non-teaching						
		duties, and						
		after-school						
		remedial class.						

Enhancement of	Small class in	To employ a	The class sizes	2014/2015	GM Salary of	Questionnaire	English
English	juniors form	qualified contract	at the	School	contract teacher for		Panel
Language	and S.4	teacher (GM,	respective	Year	12 months,		
Teaching		Point 19) who	forms are		including MPF		
		will take up 3	reduced and		[(31,120 x 7) +		
		classes of English	greater		$(32,365 \times 5) +$		
		and perform the	attention can be		(1,500  x  12) =		
		same duties as	given to		397,665]		
		required of a	students.		· -		
		regular teacher					
Giving support	To adopt	To employ a	The teaching	2014/2015	GM Salary of	Questionnaire	
to the	small group	qualified teacher	load of existing	School	contract teacher for		
implementation	teaching in	(GM, Point 19)	Liberal Studies	Year	12 months,		
of Liberal	Liberal	who will take up	& Integrated		including MPF		
Studies and	Studies, such	classes in Liberal	Science teacher		[(31,120 x 7) +		
Science	that the 4	Studies &	will be released		(32,365 x 5) +		
	classes at S4	Integrated	and they will		(1,500  x  12) =		
	split into 5	Science and	be spared to		397,665]		
	groups and 5	perform the same	take up split				
	classes at each	duties as required	classes in				
	S.5 and S.6	of a regular	Liberal Studies				
	Levels into 7	teacher					
	groups						

Funding	Income	Expenditure	Surplus / (Deficit)	
Capacity Enhancement Grant	557,148			
GM (Point 19) in Chinese		397,665		
Teaching Assistant for English Panel		176,400	(16,917)	The deficit is covered by EOEBG

Funding	Income	Expenditure	Surplus / (Deficit)	
Senior Secondary Curriculum Support Grant	692,076			
GM (Point 19) in English		397,665		
GM (Point 21) in Liberal Studies		397,665	(103,254)	The deficit is covered by EOEBG

## Wong Shiu Chi Secondary School School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2014/15 School Year Programme Plan

**Guiding Principles:** 

- 1. Students in receipt of the Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) or full grant under the Student Financial Assistance Schemes (SFAS) are eligible to receive full subsidy from the Grant.
- 2. Disadvantaged students identified by the school are also discretionary eligible for the subsidy, but the amount is limited to 10% of the grant.
- 3. The subsidy would enable the target group to have opportunities outside classroom to develop their life skills, self esteems, exposures and life enrichment.
- 4. Parents can declare their financial needs in the reply slip of the parent letters of the respective activities. Families in receipt of CSSA have to produce the confirmation letter issued by the Social Welfare Department to prove their financial status; while student of full grant under SFAS can be checked from the list provided by Student Financial Assistance Agency.

Name	Objective	Success Criteria	Method(s) of evaluation	Period/Date	Estimated no. of target students	Estimated expenditure (\$)	Name of partner/service provider
					benefitted		
Pre-S1 English	To enhance	- Attendance	- Attendance	21.7.2014 –	18	9,000.00	Headstart Group
Bridging Class	students' English abilities for their transition to an English learning environment	<ul> <li>Improvement in their reading, speaking and comprehensive ability</li> </ul>	record - Reports prepared by tutors	8.8.2014			Ltd.

Activities

School Picnic	Transport fee	- Attendance	<ul> <li>Attendance record</li> </ul>	21 Nov 2014	40	2,000.00	
Musical	To develop	Regular	Attendance	Year round	23	42,500.00	Music Friendly /
Instrument	students' skills	attendance and	record and				Vincent Music
Training	in musical	mastery of the	comments				
Classes	instruments and	performing skills of	from the tutors				
	their ability in	the musical					
	musical	instrument					
	appreciation						
Field Trip and	Transport fee	- Attendance	- Attendance	Year round	15	4,000.00	
Training Camp			record				
for difference							
subject							
Music & Speech	To develop	Competitions can	Competition	Nov 2014 –	12	1,500.00	
Festival	students' skills	enrich their	results	Mar 2015			
Competitions	in musical and	experience					
	speech						
After school	To extend	Improvement in the	Attendance	Year round	14	21,200.00	
English	students'	standard of English	and their				
Enhancement	exposure to		performance in				
Activities	authentic		the activities				
	English.						
Leadership	To instill the	Students showing	Attendance	Year round	10	30,000.00	
Training e.g.	virtues of	improvement in	record and				
YLD, AYP, Head	leaders among	collaboration,	comments				
Prefects	students	communicative and	from the tutors				
Training etc		self-management					

Sports training,	To develop the	Active participation	Attendance	Year round	20	20,000.00	
e.g. fencing,	spirit of	in the respective	record and				
judo, jazz,	collaboration,	sports events	comments				
badminton,	self		from the tutors				
table tennis, soft	management,						
balletc	and skills in						
	sports						
Miscellaneous	To widen the	Regular	Comments	Year round	30	15,000.00	Miscellaneous
activities for	students' scope	attendance and	from				agents
developing	of life exposure	having	instructors and				
student self		development in self	interviews				
esteem, skills		esteem and skills					
development							
and enrichment							
of learning							
experiences							
						145,200.00	

Name of School: Wong Shiu Chi Secondary School

Task area	Major area(s)	Strategies/Tasks	Benefits	Time scale	Resources	Success	Methods of	People
			anticipated		required	criteria	evaluation	responsible
Enhancing the capacity of the teaching team for the implementation of more life planning education elements in a holistic and systematic manner	review and refine the current career education curriculum, widen the scope of career guidance activities, and provide individual guidance	<ul> <li>To employ a qualified teacher (GM, Point 17) who will take up classes in Mathematics, Liberal Studies and Economics &amp; Society and perform the same duties as required of a regular teacher.</li> <li>hire of services relevant to life planning education</li> <li>To employ a part-time clerical staff</li> </ul>	The teaching load of existing career teachers will be released to review and refine the current practices of the Careers Team, to expand the scope of the existing level of career guidance service, to give greater attention to junior secondary students	2014/15 School Year	GM Salary of contract teacher for 12 months, including MPF $[(28,256 \times 7) + (29,386 \times 5) + (1,412.8 \times 7 + 1,469.3 \times 5) =$ 3361,958.1] A part-time clerical staff (\$60,000) for 6 months Jan-June 2015 14% of the CLP grant for hire of services (\$70,000)	refer to the annual plan of the Careers and Further Studies Committee	Questionnaire APASO Stakeholder Survey Teachers' feedback	Careers and Further Studies Committee

EOEBG (Baseline) General Administrative Expenditure Light & Power Library Books and CD-ROM's Extra-Curricular Activities (Note1) Integrated Science Visual Art Home Economics Design & Technology Putonghua Biology Physics Chemistry Chinese Language English Language	Budget Summaries 2	2014/2015 1,872,670.51	Expenditure /\$ 560,000.00 450,000.00 83,600.00 140,000.00 20,000.00 33,000.00 28,000.00 41,500.00 18,000.00 23,000.00 40,000.00 2,000.00 10,000.00
Enrichment Course Taught By NET Chinese Extensive Reading Scheme English Extensive Reading Scheme Staff Development and Training Guidance Programmes Lift Maintenance Grant Composite Furniture & Equipment Purchase Student Chairs and Tables Deficit transferred to EOEBG	(5 classes)	1,872,670.51	$\begin{array}{r} 140,000.00\\ 12,000.00\\ 12,000.00\\ 7,000.00\\ 4,500.00\\ 42,000.00\\ 240,000.00\\ 153,000.00\\ 152,371.00\\ 2,212,671.00\\ \end{array}$
EOEBG (Specific) Administration Grant Composite Information & Technology Noise-abatement and air-conditioning Capacity Enhancement Grant (Note2	)	3,615,674.00 407,089.00 292,092.00 557,148.00 4,872,003.00	3,403,478.00 400,000.00 180,000.00 574,065.00 4,557,543.00
Other Grants Account Teacher Relief Grant (180,000+\$1,41 Home-school Co-operation Activities Senior Secondary Curriculum Suppor Extra Senior Secondary Curriculum S Fractional Post Cash Grant (Note 6) School-based After School Learning HKJC LW Learning Fund Learning Support Grant Secondary S Diversity Learning Grant - Others Lar Diversity Learning Grant - Others Pro Diversity Learning Grant - NSS Applie Career and Life Planning Grant (Note Moral & National Education Support Understanding Our Motherland Mainland Exchange Program School Fund (Note 9)	Grant rt Grant (Note 4) Support Grant (Note 5) chool (SEN) nguages (Japanese) (Note 7) ogramme ed Learning Courses (ApL) e 8)	Income /\$ 1,590,600.00 14,989.00 692,076.00 250,000.00 346,038.00 145,200.00 45,500.00 213,500.00 213,500.00 22,500.00 494,340.00 357,033.00 32,000.00 57,600.00 4,444,276.00	Expenditure /\$ 1,458,641.00 14,989.00 795,330.00 0.00 397,665.00 145,200.00 45,500.00 245,700.00 98,000.00 22,500.00 490,000.00 92,000.00 57,600.00 57,600.00 4,480,025.00

Notes 1. An additional \$30,000 Subsidy from Beat Drug Fund will be received.

- 2. To cover the salaries for 1 no. Eng. TA (\$176,400), 1 no. Chi. GM (\$397,665), the deficit (\$16,917) is covered by EOEBG.
- 3. To cover the salaries for 1 no.Chi. GM (\$379,545), 1 no. Science GM (\$436,496), 4 nos. TA for Chi., LS, Maths & Adimin. (\$642,600), and supply teachers.
- 4. To cover the salaries for 1 no. Eng. GM (\$397,665), 1 no. LS. GM (\$397,665), the deficit (\$103,254) is covered by EOEBG.
- 5. Recurrent subsidy for 5 consecutive years, reserved for 2015/2016 for hiring extra teacher.
- 6. To cover the salary for 1 no. Eng. GM (\$397,665), the deficit (\$51,627) is covered by surplus from previous year
- 7. The deficit (\$32,200) is covered by EOEBG.
- 8. To cover the salary for 1 no. LS. GM (\$361,958), and hire external services for career programme.
- 9. To improve the conditions of canteen and PE storage area through replacing the existing canopies, erecting a new piece of canopy and installing raised floor under the respective canopies.